QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION As of September 30, 2021

State Universities and Colleges (SUCs)

Agency:

Organization Code (UACS)

< not applicable >

Particulars	UACS CODE		Physic	al Target (Bu	udget Year)		<u> </u>	Physical Ac	complishme	nt (Budget Ye			
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	Variance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	310100000000000												
OO : Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive													
Outcome Indicators													
Percentage of first-time licensure exam		48.00% (84/1750)	48.00% (189/393)	48.00% (216/450)	48.00% (120/250)	48.00% (609/1268)	74.42% (32/43)	0%	71.50% (138/193)				DHVSU Passing Rate is higher than National Passing Rate (Social Work February 2021)
takers that pass the licensure exams													
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		15.00% (812/5411)	15.00% (812/5411)	21.00% (1136/5411)	22.00% (1190/5411)	73.00% (3950/5411)	15% 812/5411	16.63% 900/5411	23.21% (1256/5411)				
that are employed													
Output Indicators													
Percentage of undergraduate students		92.00% (26153/28427)	92.00%(2615 3/28427)	92.00% (26153/28427)	92.00% (26153/28427)	92.00% (26153/28427)	96.50% (22,997/23,82 9)	96.50% (22,997/23,82 9)	97.49% (35,923/36,84 6)				
enrolled in CHED-identified and													
RDC-identified priority programs													
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		78.00% (18/22)	78.00% (18/22)	78.00% (18/22)	78.00% (18/22)	78.00% (18/22)	94.44% (17/18)	94.44% (17/18)	94.44% (17/18)				
with accreditation													
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM	320100000000000												
OO : Higher education research improved to promote economic													
Outcome Indicator													
Percentage of graduate school faculty													
engaged in research work applied in any													
of the following:													
a. pursuing advanced research degree	_	20.00% (8/20)	20.00% (8/20)	20.00% (8/20)	20.00% (8/20)	20.00% (8/20)	64.70% (26/35)	74.29% (26/35)	74.29% (26/35)			_	
programs (Ph.D.) or													

	I			I	I	1		1				1
Output Indicators												
Percentage of graduate students enrolled		60.00% (1200/2000)	60.00% (1200/2000)	60.00% (1200/2000)	60.00% (1200/2000)	60.00% (1200/2000)	86.61% (1695/1957)	86.61% (1695/1957)	86.61% (1695/1957)		 	
in research degree programs												
Percentage of accredited graduate		10.00% (1/10)	10.00% (1/10)	10.00% (1/10)	10.00% (1/10)	10.00% (1/10)	40.00% (4/10)	40.00% (4/10)	40.00% (4/10)			
programs												
RESEARCH PROGRAM	320200000000000											
OO : Higher education research improved to promote economic												
Outcome Indicator												
Number of research outputs in the last		1	1	1	2	5	1	1	1			
three years utilized by the industry or												
by other beneficiaries												
Output Indicators												
Number of research outputs completed		5	5	5	10	25	8	10	10			
within the year												
2. Percentage of research outputs published		8.00% (2/25)	8.00% (2/25)	8.00% (2/25)	8.00% (5/25)	45.00% (11/25)	8.69%	24.24%	32.14%			
in internationally-refereed or CHED												
recognized journal within the year												
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM	330100000000000											
OO : Community engagement increased												
Outcome Indicator												
Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		3	5	5	10	23	4	3	8			
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and												
other stakeholders as a result of												
extension activities												
Output Indicators												
Number of trainees weighted by the		200	300	500	1000	2000	210	410	745			
length of training												
2. Number of extension programs organized		2	4	5	10	21	3	10	6			
AND supported CONSISTENT WITH the SUC's												
mandated and priority programs												
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the		87.00% (174/200)	87.00% (261/300)	87.00% (435/500)	87.00% (870/1000)	87.00% (870/1000)	88% (120)	90%	89%			
training course/s as satisfactory or higher											 	
in terms of quality and relevance												
J		•	•	•——	•	•		•	•	•		•

Prepared By:

Efoy w

Eddiebal P. Layco Ph.D.

Director, Planning and Development Office

Approved By:

Enrique G. Baking Ed. D. SUC President III